

SFA 302/1001

Note Title

12/6/2011

Reminder

Office Hours:
Today Arriving 11-1 We 244
Tomorrow Arr 11:15 - 1 SS 5016A
Thursday Arr 2-4 SS 5016A

(Cody* will be in NE and lecture Thursday (2-3)
* priority to SFA 250

Remark requests? By Friday Dec 9 (firm)

Please take your marked Assignment 3 before you go today.

Experiments versus Observational Studies

- Experiment - a treatment imposed on experimental units
eg. random form

Advantages - strength of conclusion

- If properly randomized can make causal - and - effect conclusions.
eg can say that increasing light intensity causes a decrease in # of flowers/plant on average

- observational study - data measured without intervention

If homework, quizzes, CFCs, assignments

- can say that there is an association,

but can't say X causes Y

- perhaps they are both related to a 3rd variable

If old faithful data: can't say longer duration

causes there to be a longer interval - perhaps

both related to some other geological phenomenon

Multiple Regression: t-tests and the ANOVA F-test

$H_0: \beta_i = 0$
(all other X's in model)
= F-test for model
 $H_0: \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_p = 0$

It is possible to have "significant" \Rightarrow small p-values
"n.s." = non significant \Rightarrow large p-values

F-test significant
All or some of t-tests significant

Model has useful variables for explaining?

F-test n.s.
All t-tests n.s.

No explanatory variables useful for explaining?

F-test significant
All t-tests n.s.

Multi collinearity

Individuals X's
don't contribute
to T over and
above other X's.

T -test n_2

Some t -tests
significant

- 2 possible ways this can happen:
 - ① model has low predictive value but get some Type I errors (falsely reject H_0) in the t -tests
Always a risk with multiple tests.
 - ② Predictors were chosen poorly
If one useful predictor among many

not useful predictors, the contribution from the useful one may not be enough for the F-test to be significant

Problems with Regression Models and Their Consequences

Wrong Model:

- Relationship is non-linear - biased $\hat{\beta}$'s
- meaningless t & F-tests / CIs
- Only important predictor variable
- biased $\hat{\beta}$'s

- meaningless tests & CIs

Actual model: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon$

Fitted model: $\hat{Y} = b_0 + b_1 X_1$

$E(b_1) \neq \beta_1$

- Include unimportant predictor variable
 - $\hat{\beta}$'s aren't biased
 - but variance unnecessarily large (inefficient)

Gauss-Markov Conditions

- Non-constant variance - $\hat{\beta}$'s not biased
- s^2 $\hat{\beta}$'s biased estimate

⇒ invalid tests / CIs

• Correlated errors

- $\hat{\beta}$'s not biased
- s^2 is not estimatory right thing
⇒ invalid tests / CIs

Non-normal errors

- $\hat{\beta}$'s not biased.
- s^2 unbiased est for σ^2
- but t / F tests not valid
except for large sample sizes
and never for production intervals

Multicollinearity - consequence - high Var ($\hat{\beta}$)
(inefficient)

Predictor variables measured with error

Consequences - biased $\hat{\beta}_1$,
biased s^2 ,
invalid tests

OK if var in X 's is small.

To fix: need multiple measurements
or \wedge Structural Equation Models