

STA 303

1002

Note Title

3/22/2012

I posted (in announcements on FB) another
summer research opportunity.

Reminder: Churnyi has office hours tomorrow
2:00-4:00, 2W 10/10/12

Colours Models

What are conditions for inference to be valid?

- independent quantities being compared.

- Need large enough sample sizes for MLE asymptotic tests to hold

Rule-of-thumb: (most) $\hat{\mu}_{ijk} \geq 5$ all i, j, k

- Poisson distribution appropriate
- variance is equal to the mean

If not get large deviance,
"extra-Poisson variation",

$\frac{\text{Deviance}}{df}$ should be about 1

- Model fits the data - right form of explanatory variables
 - no outliers
 - check for agreement of predicted and observed counts
 - if don't agree \Rightarrow large deviances
- Deviance goodness-of-fit test to detect this

Checking Model Adequacy

① Deviance G-D-F test

- comparison of fitted model to saturated model
 - Is form of model that I'm using adequate
 or do I need something more complicated?

Test stat: $G^2 = 2 \sum_k \sum_j \sum_i \sum_i (y_{ijk} \log(\frac{y_{ijk}}{\hat{\mu}_{ijk}}))$

Exercise: Show this is the test statistic
 (in Practice Problems)

2 hints: For saturated model: $\hat{\mu}_{ijk} = y_{ijk}$

$$\sum_k \sum_j \sum_i \hat{\mu}_{ijk} = n$$

$$= n \sum_k \sum_j \hat{\pi}_{ijk}$$

= 1

H_0 : fitted model fits as well as saturated model

Under H_0 , G^2 has a Chi-square distribution with df

$ITK - \#$ of parameters in fitted model

If small p-value, need a more complicated model than what was fit

Possible reasons:

- need additional explanatory variables
- outliers
- Poisson model not correct, $\text{Var}(Y_{ijk}) > \mu_{ijk}$

② Residuals - for detecting outliers

Raw residual: $y_{ijk} - \hat{\mu}_{ijk}$

Pearson residual: $\frac{y_{ijk} - \hat{\mu}_{ijk}}{\sqrt{\hat{\mu}_{ijk}}}$

Sum of squares of Pearson residuals
gives Pearson chi-square test statistic
(Analysis 2a)

Deviance residual: Sum of squares of deviance
residuals gives test stat for
deviance G-D-F test

$$\frac{\text{Sign}(y_{ijk} - \hat{\mu}_{ijk})}{\sqrt{2 y_{ijk} \log\left(\frac{y_{ijk}}{\hat{\mu}_{ijk}}\right) - y_{ijk} + \hat{\mu}_{ijk}}}$$

For both Pearson χ^2 Deviance residuals, look for values > 3 (52 is small sample size)

Useful to studying Pearson χ^2 Deviance residuals

- Pearson and Deviance are adjusted for fact that y_{ijk} 's have different variances but not for the fact that $\text{Var}(y_{ijk} - \hat{\mu}_{ijk}) \neq \text{Var}(y_{ijk})$

(Take: $\text{Var}(y_{ijk} - \hat{\mu}_{ijk}) = (1 - \pi_{ij}) \text{Var}(y_{ijk})$)

(Also (not responsible for) there are likelihood Ratio)

③ Extra-Param variance: Indicator $\frac{\text{Deviance}}{df}$ > 1

How much > 1 is important?

Deviance $k-d-f$ test is statistically significant.

If ruled out other problems:

- include a dispersion parameter

So $\text{Var}(Y_i | x_i) = \mu_i \psi(\mu_i)$

ψ - negative binomial regression

From types 3 question:

LRTs for models with and without set of indicator variables for effect of interest.
- particularly useful if > 2 categories in categorical explanatory variables

Example Suppose 3 cat. variables $X - 2$ categories $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$
 $Z - 3$ categories $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$

Fit Uniform Association Model: $(X1, X2, Z)$

Model: Log (μ_{ijk}) = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 I_{X=1} + \beta_2 I_{Z=1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \beta_3 I_{z=1} + \beta_4 I_{z=2} \\
 & + \beta_5 I_{x=1} * I_{y=1} \\
 & + \beta_6 I_{x=1} * I_{z=1} + \beta_7 I_{x=1} * I_{z=2} \\
 & + \beta_8 I_{y=1} * I_{z=1} + \beta_9 I_{y=1} * I_{z=2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Test Do I need yz interaction?

\Leftrightarrow Don't (XY, XZ) model fit just as well?

$H_0: \beta_8 = \beta_9 = 0$ vs $H_a: \beta_8, \beta_9$ is not 0

Type 3 option would give LRT for this