

## Martingales (discrete time)

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  satisfy  $E(X_m | \mathcal{X}_{m-1}) = 0$ ,  $\forall m \geq 1$   
(we take  $X_0$  to be a constant) + set

$$S_m = \sum_{k=1}^m X_k \quad (S_0 = 0)$$

$\{S_m\}$  is termed a 0-mean martingale. For  $S_0$  a constant (not necessarily 0)

$$S_m = S_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m X_k$$

is called a martingale. Notice  $E(S_m) = S_0$ ,  $\forall m$   
in this case. It is easily seen that

$$E(S_{m+1} | \mathcal{X}_m) = S_m$$

which is usually taken as the defining property of a martingale. From here we see

$$E(S_m | \mathcal{X}_m) = S_m, \quad m < n$$

& so  $E(S_{m+1} - S_m | \mathcal{X}_m) = 0$ . The  $X$ 's are called martingale differences & there is a 1-1 relationship between  $\mathcal{X}_m$  &  $\mathcal{S}_m$ . One consequence of this is

$$E(S_m | \mathcal{X}_m) = S_m \quad \text{or} \quad E(S_{m+1} - S_m | \mathcal{X}_m) = 0,$$

for  $m < n$ .

If  $\{Y_m\}$  is such that  $X_m/S_m$  is a f'n of  $Y_m$  &  $E(S_{m+1} | \tilde{Y}_m) = S_m$  then of course  $E(S_{m+1} | \tilde{S}_m) = E[E(S_{m+1} | \tilde{Y}_m) | \tilde{S}_m] = E(S_m | \tilde{S}_m) = S_m$

so that  $\{S_m\}$  is a martingale. We often say, in this case, that  $\{S_m\}$  is a martingale wrt  $\{Y_m\}$ .

eg. Let  $\{S_m\}$  be a MC so that

$$E[g(S_{m+1}) | \tilde{S}_m] = E[g(S_{m+1}) | S_m]$$

Suppose we can find  $\psi$  (psi - lapse)  $\Rightarrow$

$$E[\psi(S_{m+1}) | S_m] = \psi(S_m)$$

which is  $P \underline{\psi} = \underline{\psi}$ , where  $P$  is the transition matrix. We would then have

$$E[\psi(S_{m+1}) | \tilde{S}_m] = \psi(S_m)$$

& hence  $\{\psi(S_m)\}$  is a martingale (wrt  $\{S_m\}$ ).

We then have  $E[\psi(S_m)]$  is constant  $\forall m$  which suggests that, for random  $T$ ,  $E[\psi(S_T)]$  will also be this constant. Assume for now this

to be the case ~~for now~~ (it isn't always, but is for stopping times - optional stopping theorem). Consider the simple random walk on  $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1, N\}$  where  $S_0 = k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ , unit steps + absorbing states 0, N. We wish to calculate the probability that the chain stops at 0. As before  $\{S_m\}$  is a MC & we let  $p$  be the prob of a +1 step &  $q = 1-p$  the prob of a step to the left. We assume  $0 < p < 1$ . Let

$$\psi(S_m) = (q/p)^{S_m}$$

It is then easily seen  $E[\psi(S_{m+1}) | X_m] = \psi(S_m)$  where  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are the steps. We then have

$$E[\psi(S_m)] = E[\psi(S_0)] = (q/p)^k, \quad \forall m$$

Let  $T =$  time to absorption (into 0 or N). It would appear reasonable that

$$E[\psi(S_T)] = (q/p)^k \Rightarrow E[(q/p)^{S_T}] = (q/p)^k$$

Now  $S_T = 0$  or  $N$ . Let  $q_k = P(S_T = 0 | S_0 = k)$  &  $p_k = 1 - q_k$ .

$$\text{Then } E[(q/p)^{S_T}] = q_k + (q/p)^N p_k = (q/p)^k$$

Set  $\rho = q/p$  & assume  $\rho \neq 1$ . We then get  $q_k = \frac{\rho^k - \rho^N}{1 - \rho^N}$

Now  $\{S_m\}$  is a martingale (MG) if  $E(S_{m+1} | \mathcal{F}_m) = S_m$ .  
 If  $=$  is replaced by  $\geq$  then we have a submartingale (SMG). If by  $\leq$  then we have a supermartingale. I'll leave it to you to show

Problem (a)  $\{S_m\}$  a MG +  $g$  convex  $\Rightarrow \{g(S_m)\}$  is a SMG.

(b)  $\{S_m\}$  a SMG,  $g$  convex + inc  $\Rightarrow \{g(S_m)\}$  a SMG.

(c)  $\{S_m\}$  a SMG  $\Rightarrow \{S_m^+\}$  a SMG

Note -  $X^+ = \max(0, X)$ . Another notation used is  $\overset{\max}{\downarrow} X$

-  $X \wedge Y$  denotes  $\min(X, Y)$  +  $X \vee Y$  the max.

If  $\{S_m\}$  is a martingale wrt  $\{Y_m\}$  then

$$S_m = E(S_{m+N} | \mathcal{F}_m)$$

If  $S_{m+N}$  converged to  $S_\infty$ , with  $E|S_0| < \infty$ , we might then conclude

$$S_m = E(S_\infty | \mathcal{F}_m) \quad (*)$$

If we start with  $S_\infty$  having finite mean + define  $S_m$  via (\*) then  $\{S_m\}$  is a martingale (the Doob martingale).

If we are willing to assume 2nd moments (\*) may be interpreted in a least-squares prediction context.

Kolmogorov's Inequality Let  $\{S_m\}$  be a 0-mean martingale &  $c > 0$ . Then

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |S_k| > c\right) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_m)}{c^2} = \frac{E(S_m^2)}{c^2}$$

Proof: Let  $M_j = \max_{1 \leq k \leq j} |S_k|$  &  $A_j = \{M_{j-1} \leq c < M_j\}$ ,  $j=1, \dots, m$  ( $M_0 = 0$ ). Then  $\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |S_k| > c\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^m A_j$  &

the  $A$ 's are disjoint. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} E(S_m^2 I_{A_j}) &= E[(S_m - S_j + S_j)^2 I_{A_j}] \\ &= E(S_j^2 I_{A_j}) + E[(S_m - S_j)^2 I_{A_j}] \\ &\quad + 2 \underbrace{E(S_j (S_m - S_j) I_{A_j})}_0 \\ &\geq E(S_j^2 I_{A_j}) \end{aligned}$$

since  $E[(S_m - S_j)^2 I_{A_j}] \geq 0$  &  $E[(S_m - S_j) f^m(X_j)] = 0$ .

$\therefore E(S_m^2 I_{A_j}) \geq E(S_j^2 I_{A_j}) \geq E(c^2 I_{A_j}) = c^2 P(A_j)$ ,  
since  $A_j \Rightarrow |S_j| > c \therefore E(S_m^2) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m E(S_m^2 I_{A_j}) \geq c^2 \sum_{j=1}^m P(A_j)$

$\forall$  so  $P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |S_k| > c) \leq E(S_m^2)/c^2$  qed

This inequality in the i.i.d case leads to a proof of the SLLN. We can extend the SLLN to the dependent case quite easily with a generalization of the inequality (due to Hajek + Renyi)

Theorem (The KHR Inequality) Let  $\{S_m\}$  be a 0-mean martingale &  $0 = c_0 < c_1 \leq \dots$  constants.

Then  $P(|S_k| \leq c_k, k=1, \dots, m) \geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{E(X_k^2)}{c_k^2}$

Remark Note  $S_m = \sum_{k=1}^m X_k$  & the  $X$ 's are uncorrelated with  $E(X_k) = 0$ . If  $c_k = c > 0$  for  $k > 0$  this result reduces to the Kolmogorov Inequality as  $\text{Var}(S_m) = E(S_m^2) = \sum_{k=1}^m E(X_k^2)$

Proof

Let  $B_m = \{|S_1| \leq c_1, \dots, |S_m| \leq c_m\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_m) &= E[I(B_m)] \\ &= E[I(B_{m-1}) I(|S_m| \leq c_m)] \\ &= E[I(B_{m-1}) (1 - I(|S_m| > c_m))] \\ &> E[I(B_{m-1}) (1 - S_m^2 / c_m^2)] \\ &= E[I(B_{m-1}) (1 - (S_{m-1} + X_m)^2 / c_m^2)] \\ &= E[I(B_{m-1}) (1 - S_{m-1}^2 / c_m^2 - X_m^2 / c_m^2)] \end{aligned}$$

since  $E(X_m S_{m-1} I(B_{m-1})) = 0$ .

$$P(B_m) \geq E\left[I(B_{m-1}) \left(1 - \frac{S_{m-1}^2}{C_m^2}\right)\right] - E\left[I(B_{m-1}) \frac{X_m^2}{C_m^2}\right]$$

$$\geq E\left[I(B_{m-1}) \left(1 - \frac{S_{m-1}^2}{C_{m-1}^2}\right)\right] - E\left(\frac{X_m^2}{C_m^2}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} C_m^2 \geq C_{m-1}^2 \\ X_m^2 \geq I(B_{m-1}) \frac{X_m^2}{C_m^2} \end{matrix}\right)$$

Now  $I(B_{m-1}) = I(B_{m-2}) I(|S_{m-1}| \leq C_{m-1})$ . Since  $I(|S_{m-1}| \leq C_{m-1}) \left(1 - \frac{S_{m-1}^2}{C_{m-1}^2}\right) \geq 1 - \frac{S_{m-1}^2}{C_{m-1}^2}$  we have

$$P(B_m) \geq E\left[I(B_{m-1}) \left(1 - \frac{S_{m-1}^2}{C_{m-1}^2}\right)\right] \quad (*)$$

$$\geq E\left[I(B_{m-2}) \left(1 - \frac{S_{m-1}^2}{C_{m-1}^2}\right)\right] - E\left(\frac{X_m^2}{C_m^2}\right) \quad (**)$$

Now apply the reduction from (\*) to (\*\*) to the 1st term of (\*\*) repeatedly to finally obtain (set  $B_0 = \Omega$ )

$$P(B_m) \geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{E(X_k^2)}{C_k^2}$$

qed

Note When  $m=2$  & going from (\*) to (\*\*) the 1st term in (\*\*) will be  $1 - \frac{E(S_1^2)}{C_1^2} = 1 - \frac{E(X_1^2)}{C_1^2}$

Theorem Let  $\{X_n\}$  have constant finite variance  $\sigma^2$  and satisfy  $E(X_n | X_{n-1}) = 0$ .  
Then

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

Proof For any  $N > 0$  the sequence  $0, S_N, \underbrace{S_N + X_{N+1}}_{S_{N+1}}, \dots$  is a 0-mean martingale. Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  & consider

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| \leq \epsilon, \forall n \geq N_0\right)$$

$$= P\left(|S_{N_0}| \leq N_0 \epsilon, |S_{N_0+1}| \leq (N_0+1)\epsilon, \dots\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{KHR}}{>} 1 - \left[ \frac{E(S_{N_0}^2)}{\epsilon^2 N_0^2} + \sum_{k=N_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2 k^2} \right]$$

Since  $\frac{E(S_{N_0}^2)}{\epsilon^2 N_0^2} = \frac{N_0 \sigma^2}{\epsilon^2 N_0^2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N_0 \rightarrow \infty$  as

does  $\sum_{k=N_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2 k^2}$  we conclude  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$

qed

Note  $\frac{S_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  for any  $a_n > 0$  with  $\sum \frac{1}{a_n^2} < \infty$ .



# The Martingale Convergence Theorem

Let  $\{S_m\}$  be a 0-mean martingale with  $\sup_m E(S_m^2) < \infty$ . Then  $\exists$  a rv  $S_\infty \in L_2$  st  $S_m \xrightarrow{ms} S_\infty$ .

Remark (a) The 0-mean assumption can easily be relaxed as any nonzero mean martingale is a constant + a 0-mean one. More importantly the 2nd moment condition can be weakened to  $\sup_m E|S_m| < \infty$  & then the conclusion is  $S_m \xrightarrow{as} S_\infty$  with  $E(|S_\infty|) < \infty$ . If  $\{S_m\}$  is ui we also have  $S_m \xrightarrow{L_1} S_\infty$ .

(b) The remarks in (a) continue to apply if  $\{S_m\}$  is a SMG.

## Proof (the $L_2$ version)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup E(S_m^2) < \infty &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E(X_k^2) < \infty \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^m X_k \xrightarrow{ms} S_\infty \end{aligned}$$

with  $E(S_\infty^2) < \infty$ . It remains to show that  $\sum_{k=1}^m X_k \xrightarrow{as}$ . If it does then the limit must be  $S_\infty$ .  
( $\circ \circ$  a subseq of  $\sum_{k=1}^m X_k \xrightarrow{as} S_\infty$ )

Now for every  $n$  the sequence  $0, S_{m+1} - S_m, S_{m+2} - S_m, \dots$  is a 0-mean martingale. Now apply Kolmogorov's Inequality to get

$$P(|S_m - S_n| \leq \epsilon; \forall m > n) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} E(X_k^2)$$

$\rightarrow 1$

Hence  $\{S_m\}$  is a mutually convergent (ie has the Cauchy property) & so  $S_m \xrightarrow{a.s.} (\cdot)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . The limit must be  $S_\infty$  (w.p.1).

qed

### The Optional Stopping Theorem

Let  $\{S_m\}$  be a martingale with mean  $S_0$ . If  $T$  is a stopping time for  $\{S_m\}$  and

(a)  $T < \infty$  (b)  $E(|S_T|) < \infty$  (c)  $E[S_m I(T \geq m)] \rightarrow 0$

then  $E(S_T) = E(S_m) = S_0$ .

### Remarks

- $T$  is a stopping time if  $\forall m \{T \leq m\}$  is a  $S_m$  event
- If (a) & (c) hold &  $\sup_m E|S_m| < \infty$  then (b) holds
- If  $1 \leq T_1 \leq T_2 \leq \dots$  are stopping times then  $\{S_{T_n}\}$  is a MG

- The result holds if  $E(T) < \infty$  &  $\exists$  a constant  $M$  st

$$\sup_m E(|X_{m+1}| | X_m) \leq M$$

This can be further weakened by only taking the sup over  $m \leq T$ .

Proof For  $m > j$

$$\begin{aligned} E[S_m I(T=j)] &= E[(S_m - S_j) I(T=j)] + E[S_j I(T=j)] \\ &= E[S_j I(T=j)] \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  for any  $m$

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 = E(S_m) &= E[S_m I(T \geq m)] + E[S_m I(T < m)] \\ &= E[S_m I(T \geq m)] + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} E[S_m I(T=j)] \\ &= E[S_m I(T \geq m)] + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} E[S_j I(T=j)] \end{aligned}$$

But  $E[S_T I(T < m)] = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} E[S_T I(T=j)] = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} E[S_j I(T=j)]$

so that

$$E(S_T) - S_0 = E[S_T I(T \geq m)] - E[S_m I(T \geq m)]$$

Now,  $E(S_m I(T \geq m)) \rightarrow 0$  by assumption and

$$|E(S_T I(T \geq m))|$$

$$\leq E(|S_T| I(T \geq m)) = \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} E(|S_T| I(T=j))$$

$$= \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} E(|S_j| I(T=j)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

since

$$\infty > E(|S_T|) = E(|S_T| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I(T=j))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E(|S_T| I(T=j))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E(S_j I(T=j))$$

Hence

$$E(S_T) = S_0$$

qed

Theorem Let  $\{S_m\}$  be a martingale,  $T \geq 1$  a stopping time &  $Z_m = S_{T \wedge m}$ . Then  $\{Z_m\}$  is a martingale.

Proof  $Z_m = Z_m I(T < m) + Z_m I(T \geq m)$   
 $= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Z_m I(T=j) + S_m I(T \geq m)$   
 $= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} S_j I(T=j) + S_m I(T \geq m)$

which is a f'm of  $\tilde{S}_m$ . Now

$$E(Z_{m+1} | \tilde{S}_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m S_j I(T=j) + E(S_{m+1} I(T \geq m+1) | \tilde{S}_m)$$

Since  $\{T \geq m+1\} = \{T \leq m\}^c$  is an  $\tilde{S}_m$ -event we get  $E(S_{m+1} I(T \geq m+1) | \tilde{S}_m) = I(T \geq m+1) E(S_{m+1} | \tilde{S}_m) = I(T > m) S_m$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E(Z_{m+1} | \tilde{S}_m) &= S_m I(T > m) + Z_m I(T \leq m) \\ &= Z_m I(T > m) + Z_m I(T \leq m) \\ &= Z_m \end{aligned}$$

qed