

Computation of LS estimator

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon_{n \times 1} \sim (0, \sigma^2 I)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'y \quad (\Leftrightarrow) \quad (X'X)\hat{\beta} = X'y$$

: various methods of computation include

1. compute $(X'X)^{-1}$, multiply by $X'y$

2. solve $(X'X)\hat{\beta} = X'y$ ($Az = b$) Cholesky
 $X'X = U'U$

3. use \perp methods Givens/Householder

4. singular value decomposition

1. The Cholesky decomp of a symmetric p.d. matrix is an upper triang. matrix U s.t. $U'U = A$

$$Az = b \Rightarrow U'Uz = b$$

$$U'w = b$$

$$U' \text{ is L.T. } \quad U \text{ is U.T. } \quad \begin{bmatrix} - & - & \dots & - \\ & - & \dots & - \\ & & \dots & - \\ 0 & & & - \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U'w = b$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ u_{12} & u_{22} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{1k} & u_{2k} & \dots & u_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$u_{11}w_1 = b_1 \quad \cancel{b_1} \quad \cancel{u_{11}} \quad w_1 = b_1/u_{11}$$

$$u_{12}w_1 + u_{22}w_2 = b_2$$

$$w_2 = \frac{b_2 - u_{12}w_1}{u_{22}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$w_i = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j u_{ij} / u_{ii} \quad \text{forward substitution.}$$

$$Uz = w \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \dots & u_{1k} \\ 0 & u_{22} & \dots & u_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & u_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$z_k = w_k / u_{kk} \quad u_{k-1,k-1}z_{k-1} + u_{k-1,k}z_k = w_{k-1}$$

$$z_{k-1} = w_{k-1} - u_{k-1,k}z_k / u_{k-1,k-1} \quad \dots \text{ etc. } \quad \text{back substitution}$$

- Finding U : see next pg

Finding the Cholesky decomposition

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ u_{12} & u_{22} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{1k} & u_{2k} & \dots & u_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \dots & u_{1k} \\ 0 & u_{22} & \dots & u_{2k} \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & u_{kk} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \dots & a_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{let } c. \begin{cases} u_{11}^2 = a_{11} & u_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}} \\ u_{11}u_{12} = a_{12} & u_{12} = a_{12}/u_{11} \\ \vdots \\ u_{11}u_{1k} = a_{1k} & u_{1k} = a_{1k}/u_{11} \end{cases}$$

$$u_{12}^2 + u_{22} = a_{22} \quad u_{22} = \sqrt{a_{22} - u_{12}^2}$$

$$u_{12}u_{23} + u_{22}u_{23} = a_{23} \quad u_{23} = (a_{23} - u_{12}u_{13})/u_{22}$$

⋮

for i from 1 to k do

$$u_{ii} \leftarrow \sqrt{a_{ii} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} u_{ki}^2}$$

for j from $i+1$ to k do

$$u_{ij} \leftarrow (a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} u_{ki}u_{kj})/u_{ii}$$

end

$$u_{11} = \sqrt{1.00001} = \sqrt{1 + 10^{-6}}$$

$$u_{12} = 1/u_{11}$$

$$u_{22} = \sqrt{1 + 10^{-6} - u_{12}^2}$$

$$u_{11} = (1 + 10^{-6})^{1/2} \sim 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-6}$$

$$u_{12} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-6}$$

$$u_{22} = \sqrt{1 + 10^{-6} - (1 - \frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-6})^2}$$

$$= (1 + 10^{-6} - (1 - 10^{-6}))^{1/2}$$

$$= (2 \times 10^{-6})^{1/2} = \sqrt{2} \times 10^{-3}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 5 \times 10^{-6} & 1 - 5 \times 10^{-6} \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \times 10^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Sol'n using orthogonal transformation

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon \sim (0, \sigma^2 I)$$

Suppose $Q_{n \times n}$ is orthog i.e. $Q'Q = I$ $\left(\begin{array}{l} |Qx| = |x| \\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right)$
 $Q' = Q^{-1}$
 $QQ' = I$

- $Qy = QX\beta + Q\varepsilon$

$y^* = X^*\beta + \varepsilon^*$ say $E\varepsilon^* = 0$ cov $\varepsilon^* = \sigma^2 I$ as before

- choose Q so that $X^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^* & \dots & x_{1p}^* \\ 0 & x_{22}^* & \dots & x_{2p}^* \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & x_{pp}^* \\ 0 & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times p}$ $\begin{pmatrix} X_{1 \times p}^* \\ \vdots \\ 0_{(n-p) \times p} \end{pmatrix}$

- LS est min $(y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) = \varepsilon'\varepsilon = \underline{\varepsilon}^{\alpha T} \underline{\varepsilon}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^{*2}$

choose $\varepsilon_{p+1}^* \dots \varepsilon_n^* = 0$ $\hat{\beta} = (X_{1 \times p}^*)^{-1} y_1^*$ $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^* \\ y_2^* \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$

- but more directly $\hat{\beta}_p = y_p^* / x_{pp}^*$

$$\hat{\beta}_{p-1} = (y_{p-1}^* - x_{p-1,p}^* \hat{\beta}_p) / x_{p-1,p-1}^*$$

back substitution again

- We have $X = \begin{matrix} Q' & X^* \\ n \times n & n \times p \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} Q_1' & X_1^* \\ n \times p & p \times p \end{matrix} = QR$ in normalized QR decomposition

- How to find the matrix Q ? Build up from specially chosen \perp matrices $Q = Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_p$
- the component pieces are called Householder transformations
- the product $QR = Q_1' X_1^*$ is returned with the low object of ~~letting~~ kills. $\text{Im } \beta$
- note that $(X_1^{*'} X_1^*) = \cancel{(QX)'} QX = \cancel{X'X} (X^{*'} X^*) = (QX)' QX = X'X$
and X_1^* is upper triangular
 \therefore is also = U of the Cholesky decomposition
- So Householder transf. give a different way to construct Cholesky decomp. seems to be more stable & accurate; works directly on X instead of first computing $X'X$

- in matrix notation $\hat{\beta} = X_1^{*'}^{-1} y_1^* = X_1^{*'}^{-1} Q_1' y$

- note that the hat matrix $H = \begin{cases} Hy = \hat{y} & X\hat{\beta}y = \hat{y} \\ H = X\hat{\beta} = X(X'X)^{-1}X' \end{cases}$

$$X = Q_1' R \quad H = Q_1' R (R' Q_1 Q_1' R)^{-1} R' Q_1$$

$$= Q_1' R (R' R)^{-1} R' Q_1 = Q_1' Q_1$$

and can also show that sequential SS can be obtained from this QR decomposition

- and easily extended to forward stepwise regression

v.e. easy to update the model

> hills.lm1 ← lm (time ~ dist $\frac{1}{2}$, data = hills)

> hills.lm ← update (hills.lm1, ~. + climb)

Finally \mathbb{R}^p singular value decomposition

recall $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$

$$Qy = QX\beta + Q\varepsilon$$

$$y^* = X^* \beta + \varepsilon^*$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} X_1^* \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1^* \\ \varepsilon_2^* \end{pmatrix}$$

X_1^* upper triang
 Q orthog.

- if we diagonalize X_1^* then solⁿ will be v. easy

$$y^* = \begin{pmatrix} X_1^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \beta + \varepsilon^*$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} X_1^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} VV' \beta + \varepsilon^*$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} D \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \theta + \varepsilon^* \quad D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_p)$$

V is $p \times p$ L

- now switch notⁿ! $Q \rightarrow U'$

$$U'y = (U'XV) \theta + \varepsilon^*$$

$$\text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} D \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_i = y_i^* / d_i \quad SE(\hat{\theta}_i) = \sigma / d_i$$

Diagnostics

- plot residuals $y_i - \hat{y}_i$ vs. x 's, vs \hat{y}_i & $qqnorm()$

- note that $E(\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}^T) = E(y - X\hat{\beta})(y - X\hat{\beta})^T$

↑ large values \leftrightarrow outliers

$$= E(y - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y)(y - H y)^T$$

$$= E[(I - H)y][(I - H)y]^T$$

$$= \sigma^2(I - H) \quad ; \text{ note not iid}$$

- if h_{ii} is 'large' then y_i is said to be 'influential'; pulls fit towards itself



- if $h_{ii} \uparrow$, $\text{var}(\hat{\epsilon}_i) \downarrow$ $\hat{\epsilon}_i / \sqrt{1 - h_{ii}}$ are called studentized residuals (all have var 1) studies

$$\epsilon_i^* = \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{\sqrt{\text{var}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)}} \quad \text{call studentized resid, } s \leftarrow s_{ii}, \text{ studies}$$

- since $\text{tr}(H) = p$, $h_{ii} > 2 \text{ or } 3 \times \frac{p}{n}$ is 'large'

for little data $p = 3$ $n = 35$ ~~$p/n \approx 0.086$~~ see p 152

Bears of Jura has high leverage + high residual See p 153 top
 Lairap Ghon has high leverage hat " "
 Knock Hill has high residual but low leverage

- Cook's distance is an attempt to summarize both in one plot

$$C_i = \frac{(\epsilon_i^{\text{STD}})^2 \cdot h_{ii}}{p(1-h_{ii})}$$

C_i : large if stand. resid large or h_{ii} large or both

rough guide $C_i > 8/(n-2p)$ ($h > \frac{2p}{n}, |\epsilon| > 2$)

$$p = \text{rank}(X^T X) = 3$$

$$8/35-6 = 8/29 \approx 0.28$$

- plot.lm automatically labels the 3 largest values