

MARKET SNAPSHOT

U.S.	EUROPE	ASIA		
DOW	12,079.00	-74.70	-0.61%	
S&P 500	1,251.78	-12.07	-0.96%	
NASDAQ	2,657.22	-21.53	-0.80%	

**GET STARTED WITH OUR
NEW PERSONAL FINANCE SECTION**

Bloomberg.com

US 6.05 -2.58%	Gold 1,781.40 -0.37%	EUR : USD 1.3629 -0.0301%	Nasdaq 2,657.22 -0.80%	Dow 12,079.00 -0.61%	S&P 500 1,251.78 -0.96%	FTSE 1
----------------	----------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------	--------

[Our Company](#) | [Professional](#) | [Anywhere](#)

[Sign in](#)

Related News: [Retirement Planning](#)

Want to save this for later? [Add it to your Queue!](#)

That Retirement Calculator May Be Lying to You

Q
By Carla Fried - Oct 3, 2011 10:13 AM ET

[Recommend](#) 3

100

[Share](#) 2

9

[More](#) ▾

[Print](#) [Email](#)

Anyone who puts even minimal elbow grease into retirement planning is well aware of "the number," the anxiety-producing seven-figure sum online calculators and financial advisers say you'll need to enjoy a comfortable lifestyle after your career ends. There's a far smaller number that deserves more attention now -- the rate of return at the heart of that calculation.

According to Ibbotson data, the long-term annualized gain for the Standard & Poor's 500-stock index dating back to 1926 is 9.9 percent. For bonds, it's 5.4 percent. (From 1970 to 2010, the Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond index average was 8.3 percent.) Plug those numbers into a portfolio of 60 percent stocks and 40 percent bonds and the return is about 8 percent, which is precisely the number most financial planners -- and retirement calculators -- were using up until recently.

[Enlarge image](#)



Investors that base their longed-for retirement savings "number" on historical rates of return for major asset classes may find their calculations are overly optimistic. Many firms have ratcheted the default rates used in their calculations downward.

[Enlarge image](#)



With bond yields at record lows and stock dividend yields less than half their long-term norm, however, expecting portfolios to deliver returns in line with those historical averages may be a dangerous assumption. Using lower return numbers and seeing a higher savings target emerge may be a harsh reality check, but better to grapple with it now than be shocked when there's less time to ramp up savings or cut spending to remedy a shortfall.

Today many advisers are looking out a decade or so and lowering the rate of return they expect from stocks and bonds. Jon West, a director at Research Affiliates, which manages \$50 billion, says the firm's number crunching leads it to estimate that stocks could deliver 5 percent to 6 percent, and bonds 2 percent or so. That's based on

getting "at least 2 percent less from dividends," anemic earnings growth, and no growth in the stock market's price-earnings ratio, he says. It produces a return below 5 percent for a 60/40 portfolio. That's a far cry from 8 percent.

Vanguard founder Jack Bogle has a slightly more upbeat assessment. He expects stock returns of 7 percent to 7.5 percent over the next decade. He assumes no expansion in the market's price-earnings ratio, dividend yields of 2.2 percent, and earnings growth of at least 5 percent. Bogle expects bond returns to be about 3 percent. For a balanced portfolio, that produces a net nominal return of slightly more than 6 percent. A higher forecast is T. Rowe Price's estimate of 7 percent; until this year it had used 8 percent.

Not-So-Happy Returns

Lower return expectations are a function of pretty straightforward math. Dividend income has historically played a large role in stocks' total return. Dating back nearly 100 years, dividends have contributed slightly less than half (4.5 percentage points, to be exact) of the S&P 500's

Search News, Quotes and Opinion

More Stories

[J.C. Penney Posts Third-Quarter Loss on Retirement-Plan Costs](#)

Q
Updated 1 hour ago

[Children Conceived After Father's Death Draw High Court Review](#)

Q

[Senators Call for Probe of \\$10 Billion Medicare Innovation Fund](#)

Q

[Brown Plan May Curb California Pension Risk: State Analyst](#)

Q



[More News »](#)

Advertisement

Bloomberg tv

Download the iPad app for FREE.

[App Store](#)

Most Popular Stories»

[Stocks Decline on Europe Contagion Concerns](#)

Q
Updated 56 minutes ago

['Enough's Enough' on Undervalued Yuan: Obama](#)

Q



[Target Employees Protest Thanksgiving Hours](#)

Q
Updated 2 hours ago

[Buybacks Surge as S&P 500 Trades at Discount](#)

Q
Updated 50 minutes ago

[More Most Popular Stories »](#)

Key Rates

[Mortgage](#) Home Equity Savings Auto Credit Cards

See today's average mortgage rates across the country. Source: [Bankrate.com](#)

Type Today 1 Mo

[View rates in your area »](#) Rates may include points.

Sponsored Links

9.9 percent annualized total return. And since 1995 dividends have practically gone into witness protection, averaging about 2 percent.

The challenge for bond investors is today's low yields. A bond's total return comprises yield plus any changes in the underlying price of the bond. Bond prices rise when yields fall, and with the 10-year Treasury at a record low and the Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond index below 3 percent, there's little room for prices to rise. So figure an annualized return below 3 percent for bonds over the next decade, says West.

More sober return realities aren't reflected in all of the online retirement calculators. Some, such as ones offered by Principal Group and Yahoo! Finance, use 8 percent as the default rate. Others, including the AARP and Bloomberg calculators, default to 6 percent. The Labor Dept.'s calculator plugs in 5 percent. Vanguard's gives savers a slider to play with that's initially set at 5 percent. It labels 5 percent "conservative" and describes a return anywhere from 6 percent to 9 percent as "moderate." That's a mighty wide range.

Vanguard senior investment analyst Maria Bruno says the range gives users "flexibility" and is based on the different outcomes investors have experienced historically depending on whether they held only stocks, only bonds or combinations of the two. Because these are based on long-term data, "we don't modify ranges like this in different types of market conditions," she says.

Principal says in an e-mail that its 8 percent figure is based on a 10 to 30 year view of the market "which we believe is appropriate for long-term retirement savings." When contacted, Yahoo Finance said it is reviewing the rates used on the site's personal finance calculators.

Going to [Monte Carlo](#)

Online retirement calculators may also rely on what's known as Monte Carlo simulations. Rather than choose one rate of return to base calculations on, Monte Carlo incorporates thousands of return scenarios that deviate from assumed benchmark rates of return based on different volatility scenarios, as well as assumed withdrawal scenarios for retirees. After the program runs the numbers, it gives a "success rate" showing the percentage of market scenarios where a saver arrived at the end of his life span and still had money. There are free calculators using Monte Carlo simulations at T. Rowe Price, Fidelity, and Schwab.

Monte Carlo simulations are useful but can have shortcomings. William Bernstein, a principal at Efficient Frontier Advisors and author of "The Investor's Manifesto," worries they can give a false sense of security since, for the most part, they assume normally distributed returns -- not the dramatic market meltdowns of recent years. Fidelity's calculator shows savers two probabilities: one that assumes the historical rates of return are borne out, and another shows how savers would fare if they had below-average outcomes.

If using any of the calculations shows that a savings goal needs to be hiked, one way to eke more return out of a portfolio is to focus on fees. Forging over 1 percent to 1.5 percent of your money each year to cover a mutual fund's expense ratio may have been easy to overlook in the 1990s when the S&P 500's annualized return was 18.2 percent. If returns are 6 percent or 7 percent over the next decade, a 1.5 percent expense ratio cuts a net return by about 25 percent.

"In this day and age, there's simply no excuse for paying [an expense ratio of] more than 0.25 percent for a portfolio of U.S. stocks and bonds, and maybe 0.5 percent for a portfolio of foreign stocks," says Bernstein.

(Carla Fried is a freelancer based in [California](#).)

To contact the editor responsible for this story: Suzanne Woolley at swoolley2@bloomberg.net

Want to save this for later? [Add it to your Queue!](#)

Recommend { 3 } 100 Share 2 9 More ▾ Email Print

How to Retire
Learn How Much You'll Need and How to Save It
www.millionairecorner.com

Down with Retirement
Why your financial future lies in starting today.
Blog.GetSmarterAboutMoney.ca

Unlock Your UK Pension
Expatriate? Get Early Access to UK Personal or Occupational Pension
www.WindsorPensions.com

AdChoices ▶

Job Search ▶ Post a Job »

Senior Accountant
Confidential - Non-profit in... - West Palm Beach, FL

Tax Accountant
Dentsply International - York, PA

Sr Financial Analyst
Rockwell Collins - Cedar Rapids, IA

Senior Tax Accountant
Baker Tilly Virchow Krause - Washington, DC

Senior Accountant - Tax
Baker Tilly Virchow Krause - Minneapolis, MN

Search All Jobs jobs by **indeed**
job title or company location

Advertisement

Job Search Post a Job »

- [Sales Executive jobs](#)
- [Software Engineer jobs](#)
- [Project Manager jobs](#)
- [Portfolio Manager jobs](#)
- [Financial Advisor jobs](#)
- [Accountant jobs](#)
- [Director of Communications jobs](#)
- [Attorney jobs](#)
- [Business Development Manager jobs](#)
- [Account Manager jobs](#)
- [Controller jobs](#)

Search All Jobs jobs by **indeed**

Advertisements

Login