

2. \*\* Derive the delta and gamma for a digital put and digital call option using the Black-Scholes model.

digital call  $Q = \mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}$

$$V_t^c = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_t^Q [\mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}] \quad ; \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = r dt + \sigma dW_t^Q$$

Q-Wiener process

$$= e^{-r(T-t)} Q_t(S_T > K) \quad , \quad Z \sim N(0,1)$$

$$= e^{-r(T-t)} Q\left(Z > \frac{\ln(K/S_t) - (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\right)$$

$$= e^{-r(T-t)} \bar{\Phi}(d_-)$$

$$d_- \triangleq \frac{\ln(S_t/K) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}$$

$$\Delta^c = \partial_S V(S, t)$$

$$= e^{-r(T-t)} \bar{\Phi}'(d_-) \partial_S d_-$$

$$\Delta^c = e^{-r(T-t)} \frac{\bar{\Phi}'(d_-)}{S \sigma \sqrt{T-t}}$$

and  $\bar{\Phi}'(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} / \sqrt{2\pi}$

$$\Gamma^c = \partial_S \Delta^c$$

$$= \frac{e^{-r(T-t)}}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \left( \frac{1}{S} \bar{\Phi}''(d_-) \cdot \frac{1}{S \sigma \sqrt{T-t}} - \frac{1}{S^2} \bar{\Phi}'(d_-) \right)$$

NB:  $\bar{\Phi}''(x) = -x e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} / \sqrt{2\pi} = -x \bar{\Phi}'(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma^C = - \frac{e^{-r(T-t)}}{S^2 \sigma \sqrt{T-t}} \left(1 + \frac{d_-}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}}\right) \Phi'(d_-)$$

NB:  $Q^C + Q^P = 1 \Rightarrow Q^P = 1 - Q^C$

$$\Rightarrow V_t^P = e^{-r(T-t)} - V_t^C$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_t^P = - \Delta_t^C \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_t^P = - \Gamma_t^C$$

- (b) \*\* A forward-start asset-or-nothing option which pays the asset at  $T$  if the asset price at maturity is above a percentage  $\alpha$  of the asset price at time  $U$  (where  $t < U < T$ ). That is,  $\varphi = S_T \mathbb{1}(S_T > \alpha S_U)$ .

For  $t \leq u \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_t^\alpha [ S_T \mathbb{1}(S_T > \alpha S_U) ] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_t^\alpha [ \mathbb{E}_U^\alpha [ S_T \mathbb{1}(S_T > \alpha S_U) ] ] \end{aligned}$$

now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_U^\alpha [ S_T \mathbb{1}(S_T > \alpha S_U) ] \quad z \sim N(0,1) \\ &= S_U e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-U)} \mathbb{E}_U^\alpha [ e^{\sigma\sqrt{T-U}z} \mathbb{1}\left(z > \underbrace{\frac{\ln \alpha - (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-U)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-U}}}_{-z^*}\right) ] \\ &= S_U e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-U)} \int_{-z^*}^{\infty} e^{\sigma\sqrt{T-U}z} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ &= S_U e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-U)} \int_{-z^*}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z - \sigma\sqrt{T-U})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-U)} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ &= S_U e^{r(T-U)} \Phi(z^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-U}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore V_t &= e^{-r(u-t)} \Phi(z^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-u}) \mathbb{E}_t [ S_u ] \\ &= S_t \Phi(z^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-u}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_t = \Phi(z^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-u})$$

$$\text{and } \Gamma_t = 0$$

For  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_t &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_t^Q \left[ S_T \mathbb{1}_{S_T > \alpha S_t} \right] && S_u \text{ is not random at time } t! \\
 &= e^{-r(T-t)} S_t e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)} \\
 &\quad \times \mathbb{E}_t^Q \left[ e^{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}z} \mathbb{1}_{z > \underbrace{\frac{\ln(\alpha S_t/S_t) - (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}}} \right] \\
 &= S_t \Phi(\eta^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-t}) && -\eta^* \text{ depends on } S_t \text{ now!}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_t &= \Phi(\eta^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-t}) \\
 &\quad + S_t \Phi'(\eta^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-t}) \frac{1}{S_t \sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \\
 &= \Phi(\eta^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-t}) + \frac{\Phi'(\eta^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-t})}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma_t = \frac{\Phi'(\eta^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-t})}{S_t \sigma\sqrt{T-t}} + \frac{\Phi''(\eta^* + \sigma\sqrt{T-t})}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \frac{1}{S_t \sigma\sqrt{T-t}}$$

5. Suppose that interest rates follow the Ho-Lee model:

$$dr_t = \alpha_t dt + \sigma dW_t$$

where  $\alpha_t$  is a deterministic function of time and  $W_t$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Wiener process. Determine each of the following:

- (a) \*\* Bond price at time  $t$  of maturity  $T$ .
- (b) \*\* The SDE which the bond price satisfies in terms of  $W_t$ .

$$P_t(T) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{now } \int_t^T r_s ds &= \int_t^T \left( r_t + \int_t^s \alpha_u du + \sigma \int_0^s dW_u \right) ds \\ &= r_t(T-t) + \int_t^T \int_t^s \alpha_u du + \sigma \int_t^T W_s ds \end{aligned}$$

clearly  $\int_t^T r_s ds \sim \mathcal{N}(m, v)$  and

$$m = \int_t^T \int_t^s \alpha_u du + (T-t) r_t$$

$$v = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T W_s ds \int_t^T W_u du \right]$$

$$= 2 \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T \int_u^T W_s W_u ds du \right]$$

$$= 2 \sigma^2 \int_t^T \int_u^T s ds du$$

$$= \sigma^2 \frac{(T-t)^3}{3}$$

$$\therefore P_t(T) = e^{-m + \frac{1}{2}v}$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -(T-t)r_t - \underbrace{\int_t^T \int_t^s \alpha_u du ds}_{S_t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{6} (T-t)^3 \right\}$$

↳ write  $P_t(T) = e^{- (T-t) r_t + b_t}$  using Ito's lemma...

$$\Rightarrow dP_t(T) = \left( \overbrace{r_t + \partial_t b_t}^{\partial_t} - \overbrace{(T-t) \cdot \alpha_t}^{\partial_r} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \overbrace{(T-t)^2}^{\partial_{rr}} \right) P_t(T) dt - \underbrace{(T-t)}_{\partial_r} P_t(T) \sigma dW_t$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{note } \partial_t b_t &= - \partial_t \int_t^T \int_t^s \alpha_u du ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (T-t)^2 \\ &= \int_t^t \alpha_u du - \int_t^T \left( \partial_t \int_t^s \alpha_u du \right) ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (T-t)^2 \\ &= \int_t^T \alpha_t ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (T-t)^2 \\ &= \alpha_t (T-t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (T-t)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dP_t(T)}{P_t(T)} = r_t dt - (T-t) \sigma dW_t$$

6. ++ Suppose that two traded stocks have price processes  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$ . Assume they are jointly GBMs, i.e.

$$\frac{dX_t}{X_t} = \mu_x dt + \sigma_x dW_t^x, \quad \frac{dY_t}{Y_t} = \mu_y dt + \sigma_y dW_t^y, \quad (1)$$

where  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  are correlated standard Brownian motions under the  $\mathbb{P}$ -measure with correlation  $\rho$ . Consider a contingent claim  $f$  written on the two assets with payoff  $\varphi(X_T, Y_T)$  at time  $T$ .

(a) Use a dynamic hedging argument to demonstrate that to avoid arbitrage, the price of  $f$  must satisfy the following PDE:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + r x \partial_x + r y \partial_y + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_x^2 x^2 \partial_{xx} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_y^2 y^2 \partial_{yy} + \rho \sigma_x \sigma_y x y \partial_{xy}) f = r f \\ f(T, x, y) = \varphi(x, y). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

set up a self-financing strategy:

$a_t$  units of  $X_t$

$b_t$  " "  $Y_t$

$c_t$  " "  $M_t$

$-1$  " "  $F_t$

so  $V_t = a_t X_t + b_t Y_t + c_t M_t - F_t$  &  $V_0 = 0$

since self-financing

$$dV_t = a_t dX_t + b_t dY_t + c_t dM_t - df_t$$

$$= a_t (X_t \mu_x + X_t \sigma_x dW_t^x)$$

$$+ b_t (Y_t \mu_y + Y_t \sigma_y dW_t^y)$$

$$+ c_t r M_t dM_t$$

$$- \left( \partial_t f + \mu_x X_t \partial_x f + \mu_y Y_t \partial_y f \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_u^2 X_t^2 \partial_{xx} f + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_y^2 Y_t^2 \partial_{yy} f \\
& + \sigma_u \sigma_y X_t Y_t \partial_{xy} f ) dt \\
& - \sigma_u X_t \partial_x f dW_t^x - \sigma_y Y_t \partial_y f dW_t^y
\end{aligned}$$

clearly if  $a_t = \partial_x f$  and  $b_t = \partial_y f$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
dV_t = & \left[ a_t X_t \mu_x + b_t Y_t \mu_y + c_t r M_t \right. \\
& - \left( \partial_t f + \mu_x X_t \partial_x f + \mu_y Y_t \partial_y f \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_u^2 X_t^2 \partial_{xx} f + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_y^2 Y_t^2 \partial_{yy} f \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \sigma_u \sigma_y X_t Y_t \partial_{xy} f \right) \right] dt
\end{aligned}$$

To avoid arbitrage  $dV_t = 0$  (since no uncertainty in increment),

$$\therefore V_t = 0 \quad \therefore c_t M_t = F_t - a_t X_t - b_t Y_t$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore & \partial_x f X_t \mu_x + \partial_y f Y_t \mu_y + r(F_t - \partial_x f X_t - \partial_y f Y_t) \\
& = \partial_t f + \mu_x X_t \partial_x f + \mu_y Y_t \partial_y f \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_u^2 X_t^2 \partial_{xx} f + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_y^2 Y_t^2 \partial_{yy} f \\
& \quad + \sigma_u \sigma_y X_t Y_t \partial_{xy} f
\end{aligned}$$

cancelling terms and realizing this must hold  $\forall X_t, Y_t \Rightarrow$



$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f + r x \partial_x f + r y \partial_y f \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_x^2 x^2 \partial_{xx} f + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_y^2 y^2 \partial_{yy} f \\ + \sigma_x \sigma_y xy \partial_{xy} f = r f \end{aligned}$$

and at Maturity  $T$ ,

$$f(T, x, y) = Q(x, y)$$

- (b) Suppose that the payoff is homogenous, so that  $\varphi(x, y) = y g(x/y)$  for some function  $g$ . An example of such a payoff is the payoff from an exchange option which would have  $\varphi(x, y) = (x - y)_+$ . By assuming that  $f(t, x, y) = y h(t, x/y)$ , find the PDE which  $h$  satisfies and show that the price  $f$  can be written in the form

$$f(X_t, Y_t) = Y_t \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}^*} [g(U_T)] \quad (3)$$

where,  $U_t = X_t/Y_t$  and  $\bar{X}_t$  satisfies an SDE of the form

$$\frac{dU_t}{U_t} = \sigma_U dW_t^*,$$

for some constant  $\sigma_U$  and  $W_t^*$  a  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  Brownian motion.

$$y f(t, x, y) = y h(t, x/y) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} y h(t, z)$$

$$\text{Then, } \partial_t f = y \partial_t h$$

$$\partial_x f = y \frac{1}{y} \partial_z h = \partial_z h$$

$$\partial_{xx} f = \frac{1}{y} \partial_{zz} h$$

$$\partial_y f = h + y \cdot \left(-\frac{x}{y^2}\right) \partial_z h$$

$$= h - z \partial_z h$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{yy} f &= -\frac{x}{y^2} \partial_z h + \frac{x}{y^2} \partial_z h - z \left(-\frac{x}{y^2}\right) \partial_{zz} h \\ &= \frac{1}{y} z^2 \partial_{zz} h\end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_{xy} f = -\frac{x}{y^2} \partial_{zz} h = -\frac{1}{y} z \partial_{zz} h$$

so then,

$$\begin{aligned}y \partial_t f + r x \partial_z h + r y (h - z \partial_z h) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_x^2 x^2 \frac{1}{y} \partial_{zz} h \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_y^2 y^2 \frac{1}{y} z^2 \partial_{zz} h \\ + \sigma_x \sigma_y \rho x y \left(-\frac{1}{y}\right) z \partial_{zz} h = r y h\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_t f + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2\sigma_x \sigma_y \rho)}_{\sigma^2} z^2 \partial_{zz} h = 0$$

and since  $f(T, x, y) = y g(x/y)$

$$\Rightarrow h(T, z) = g(z)$$

Feynman-Kac  $\Rightarrow$

$$h(t, z_t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} [g(z_T) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

where  $dz_t = \sigma z_t dW_t^*$

$$\Rightarrow f(t, x_t, y_t) = y_t \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} [g(z_T) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$