Covariance Structure Approach to Within-cases

Using SAS proc mixed

Advantages

- Straightforward: It's familiar univariate regression
- Just MSE is different, because of correlated observations
- Nicer treatment of missing data (valid if missing at random)
- Can have time-varying covariates
- Flexible modeling of non-independence within cases
- Can accommodate more factor levels than cases (with assumptions)

Usual covariance matrix of $Y_1,, Y_n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

In the covariance structure approach

- There are n "subjects."
- There are k ("repeated") measurements per subject
- There are nk cases: n blocks of k rows
- Data are multivariate normal (dimension nk)
- Familiar regression model for the vector of means
- Special structure for the variance-covariance matrix: not just a diagonal matrix with σ^2 on the main diagonal

Structure of the variancecovariance matrix

- Covariance matrix of the data has a block diagonal structure: nxn matrix of little kxk variance-covariance matrices (partitioned matrix)
- Off diagonal matrices are all zeros -- no correlation between data from different cases
- Matrices on the main diagonal are all the same (equal variance assumption)

Block Diagonal Covariance Matrix

Γ	0		0	0
0	Σ		0	0
:	•••	•••	•••	0
0	0		Σ	0
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$	0		0	$oxedsymbol{\Sigma}$

 Σ is the matrix of variances and covariances of the data from a single subject.

Σ may have different *structures*

May be unknown

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{1,2} & \sigma_{1,3} & \sigma_{1,4} \\ \sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_2^2 & \sigma_{2,3} & \sigma_{2,4} \\ \sigma_{3,1} & \sigma_{3,2} & \sigma_3^2 & \sigma_{3,4} \\ \sigma_{4,1} & \sigma_{4,2} & \sigma_{4,3} & \sigma_4^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{1,2} & \sigma_{1,3} & \sigma_{1,4} \\ \sigma_2^2 & \sigma_{2,3} & \sigma_{2,4} \\ \sigma_3^2 & \sigma_{3,4} \\ \sigma_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

May be something else

Available covariance structures include

- Unknown: type=un
- Compound symmetry: type=cs
- Variance components: type=vc
- First-order autoregressive: type=ar(1)
- Spatial autocorrelation: covariance is a function of Euclidian distance
- Factor analysis
- Many others

Compound Symmetry

- Why are data from the same case correlated?
- Because each case makes its own contribution -- add a (random) quantity that is different for each case
- So variances of measurements are all equal
- And correlations are all equal
- Classical univariate approach implies compound symmetry

Compound Symmetry

$$oldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left[egin{array}{cccccc} \sigma^2 + \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 \ \sigma_1 & \sigma^2 + \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 \ \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma^2 + \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 \ \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 & \sigma^2 + \sigma_1 \end{array}
ight]$$

Fewer parameters to estimate

Why not always assume covariance structure unknown?

- No reason why not, if you have enough data.
- When number of unknown parameters is large relative to sample size, variances of estimators are large => confidence intervals wide, tests weak.
- In some studies, there can be more treatment conditions than cases, and unique estimates of parameters don't even exist.
- There is always a tradeoff between assumptions and amount of data.

First-order autoregressive time series

$$m{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 egin{bmatrix} 1 &
ho &
ho^2 &
ho^3 \
ho & 1 &
ho &
ho^2 \
ho^2 &
ho & 1 &
ho \
ho^3 &
ho^2 &
ho & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Usually much bigger matrix
- Could have a handful of cases measured at hundreds of time points
- Or even just one "case," say a company

Eating Norm Study

- Two free meals at the psych lab (on different days)
- One with another student, one alone
- But it's not really another student. It's a "confederate."
- Confederate either eats a lot or a little.
- Dine with the confederate first, or second.
- DV is how much you eat. They weigh it.
- Covariates: How long since you ate, and how hungry you are. (Self Report)

Variables

- Amount subject eats: DV
- Amount confederate eats (between)
- Eat alone or with confederate (within)
- Eat with confederate first, or second (between)
- Reported time since ate (covariate)
- Reported hunger (covariate)
- Notice these are time-varying covariates

Just can't do it with the multivariate approach

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E[Y_1 | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \\ E[Y_2 | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \\ \vdots \\ E[Y_k | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{0,1} + \beta_{1,1} x_1 + & \cdots & + \beta_{p-1,1} x_{p-1} \\ \beta_{0,2} + \beta_{1,2} x_1 + & \cdots & + \beta_{p-1,2} x_{p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \beta_{0,k} + \beta_{1,k} x_1 + & \cdots & + \beta_{p-1,k} x_{p-1} \end{bmatrix}$$